UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/762,693	01/22/2004	Romain Pillard	945-011666-US (PAR)	5263
2512 PERMAN & G	7590 03/20/2007 REEN		EXAMINER OSELE, MARK A ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1734	
425 POST ROA	AD .			
FAIRFIELD, C	CT 06824			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		····	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODI		Y MODE		
3 MONTHS		03/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	·
		10/762,693	PILLARD, ROMAIN	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	·	Mark A. Osele	1734	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL nations of time may be available under the provisions of 3' SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic D period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto rere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUI OF CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may cation. Ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) M by statute, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communic ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	·		•	
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed of This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice of	☐ This action is non-final. allowance except for formal m	• •	ts is
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) ⊠ 8) □ Applicat	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are version [is/are version claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2,4,5,9 and 10 is/are rejected Claim(s) 3, 6-8 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction [ion Papers] The specification is objected to by the E	withdrawn from consideration. d. n and/or election requirement. examiner.		
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	n to the drawing(s) be held in abey e correction is required if the drawi	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in the priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage	.
2) Notic 3) Infor	et(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	-948) Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/762,693

Art Unit: 1734

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 5, 9, 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Japanese Patent Publication 5-32231 (Tetsuya et al.). Tetsuya et al. shows a mail item feed module comprising a separator, 61, for separating envelope flaps from envelope bodies wherein the active zone of separation is supple in the form of elastic mylar. The English language abstract of the reference fails to disclose whether the mail item feed is part of a franking machine. Even if the apparatus of Tetsuya et al. is not part of a franking machine, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the feed module of Tetsuya et al. in a franking machine because machines that fill, seal, address, and add

Application/Control Number: 10/762,693

Art Unit: 1734

postage to envelopes all in one device are conventionally used because they are efficient.

Regarding claim 2, the edge of the supple part is arranged at the level of an envelope conveyor deck (See Figs. 10-12).

Regarding claim 5, Tetsuya et al. appears to show the separator to have a circular open end (See Fig. 11) for accommodating a rod which would be a rigid part of the separator. Even if the circular open end of Tetsuya et al. is not connected to a rod, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to place the open end of Tetsuya et al. over a rigid rod for connection to the frame of the apparatus.

Regarding claims 9 and 10, the device of Tetsuya et al. allows for moistening envelope flaps.

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent Publication 5-32231 (Tetsuya et al.) in view of either Whitener (U.S. 5,021,279) or Anderson et al. (5,922,591). As shown in paragraph 3 above, Tetsuya et al. shows the instantly claimed invention but fails to show the separator to comprise silicone.

Whitener (column 5, lines 20-24) and Anderson et al. (column 21, lines 38-52) each show that mylar and silicone are known equivalent flexible materials used in making three dimensional objects. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the mylar separator of Tetsuya

et al. with a silicone separator because Whitener and Anderson et al. each show the interchangeability of these two materials.

Double Patenting

5. Applicant is advised that should claim 9 be found allowable, claim 10 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Objections

6. Claims 3, 4, and 6 are objected to because of the following informalities: in claims 3 and 4, the words "overmoulded," "millimetre," and "moulded" should be replaced with their standard United States spellings of 'overmolded,' 'millimeter,' and 'molded,' respectively. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 6 uses the phrase "rail type." As the use of "type" can become unclear, it is suggested that "type" be removed or replaced with a more specific term.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 3 and 6-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/762,693 Page 5

Art Unit: 1734

8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: None of the prior art suggests making the supple part of the separator overmolded plastic on a steel wire, connected to a rigid part by a slideway or rail, or articulated on a rigid part by a hinge.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The recently found Japanese Patent publication to Tetsuya et al. has necessitated the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark A. Osele whose telephone number is 571-272-1235. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Fiorilla can be reached on 571-272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/762,693

Art Unit: 1734

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MARK A. OSELE PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 6

March 18, 2007